# Spectral weight of bosonic excitations near Mott insulator-superfluid transition in 1D

#### Luka Gartner

Physics Institute University of Bonn

December 2, 2014

#### Density matrix renormalization group

- Real space renormalization group procedure
- Density matrix renormalization group procedure

## 2 Bose-Hubbard model

3 Treating the Bose-Hubbard model with DMRG



DMRG is a numerical technique for finding approximate ground states and low lying excited states of low dimensional strongly correlated quantum models

- Roots in Wilson's RG
- Introduced by White in 1992
- Nowadays it is the most efficient method for treating 1D systems with applications in many fields

#### Problem:

• Treating large quantum systems with limited memory

## Solution:

- Break the system into blocks which can be diagonalized
- Choose the lowest lying eigenstates as an effective basis for the block
- Join two blocks
- Repeat



Figure: Formation of blocks in RSRG. [Till D Kühner. Dynamics with the Density-Matrix Renormalization Group (1990). PhD thesis]

Breakdown of RSRG:

- Lowest lying state of AA cannot be approximated properly using the lowest lying states of A
- This error is a consequence of the truncation procedure

Workaround: using different boundary conditions - only for non-interacting systems



Figure: Block eigenstates for a tight binding model describing a single particle hopping on a chain. [Ulrich Schollwöck. *The density-matrix* renormalization group. Reviews of Modern Physics, 77(1):259, 2005.]

## DMRG

In order to correct the errors of RSRG:

- Embed the system in an environment
- One of the way we choose the states we keep:

$$\| \ket{\psi} - \ket{ ilde{\psi}} \| o \mathsf{min}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Satisfied when we truncate the states with the smallest density-matrix weight

### Density matrix

$$\rho_{ii'} = \sum_{j} \psi_{ij}^* \psi_{i'j}$$

 $\ket{\psi} = \sum_{ii} \psi_{ij} \ket{i} \ket{j}$  eigenstate of the block Hamiltonian

## Infinite system DMRG

- Start with block A
- Add a site to form system block A•
- Reflect to obtain the environment block
   A'
- Form the superblock A • A'
- **6** Form the density matrix  $ho = \left|\psi\right\rangle \left\langle\psi\right|$
- Diagonalize the density matrix and keep the *m* eigenstates with the largest eigenvalues
- ③ A ← A in the truncated basis, go to step 2



Figure: Infinite system DMRG blocks. [Ulrich Schollwöck. *The density-matrix renormalization group.* Reviews of Modern Physics, 77(1):259, 2005.]

## Finite system DMRG

- By constantly increasing the size of the superblock, truncation is never made in terms of the correct target state
- Finite size DMRG changes the size of the system and the environment while keeping the superblock size constant



Figure: Finite system DMRG sweep. [Ulrich Schollwöck. *The density-matrix renormalization group*. Reviews of Modern Physics, 77(1):259, 2005.]

## Finite size DMRG

- Use infinite system DMRG algorithm to build up the system
- Use finite system DMRG sweeps to tune it



Figure: Finite size DMRG. [Till D Kühner.

Dynamics with the Density-Matrix Renormalization Group

(1990). PhD thesis]

December 2, 2014 9 / 21

System of bosons on a lattice chain having repulsive on-site interaction, and lowering energy by hopping to neighburing sites on the lattice



 $b_i^{\dagger}/b_i^{\phantom{\dagger}}$  - bosonic creation/annihilaton operators on site i $n_i = b_i^{\dagger}b_i^{\phantom{\dagger}}$  - number of particles on site it - hopping matrix element U - on-site Coulomb repulsion  $\mu$  - chemical potential Competition between

- the hopping term, which tries to delocalize the system
- the on-site interaction term, which tries to localize the particles and reduce the fluctuations

gives rise to two distinct phases:

**Superfluid phase**: single-particle wavefunctions spread out over the entire lattice, non-integer filling

Mott insulator phase: localized wavefunctions with a fixed number of atoms per site, integer filling



Figure: Superfluid-Mott insulator transition

[greiner.physics.harvard.edu]

## Phase diagram of the 1D Bose-Hubbard model



Figure: Phase diagram of the Bose-Hubbard model. [Till D Kühner and H Monien. *Phases of the one-dimensional Bose-Hubbard model*. Physical Review B, 58(22):R14741, 1998.]

December 2, 2014 12 / 21

- Cut-off number of particles per site introduced
- Ground state particle number is equal to the number of sites
- Both infinite and finite size algorithms are used
- Increased accuracy by targeting multiple states

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i \rho_i$$

13 / 21

• Address operator representation

Make use of the fact that the particle number is conserved  $\Rightarrow$  Operators can be broken into sectors



Representation of operators in the wavevector space

$$A_q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_n A_n \cos(qx_n) f(x_n)$$

 $f(x_n)$  is the filtering function  $N = \sum_{n=1}^{L} f(x_n)$ 



#### Figure: Parzen filter

## Phase diagram of the 1D Bose-Hubbard model

Obtaining the phase diagram:

• Three states are targeted: ground state g and ground state with an added particle p or hole h

$$\mu_{p} = E_{p} - E_{g}$$

$$\mu_h = E_g - E_h$$

• Critical point expected around  $t_c/U = 0.27$ Parameters used:

- *L* = 128
- $n_{max} = 4$
- *n<sub>states</sub>* = 16
- *n*<sub>sweeps</sub> = 2



Figure: Phase diagram of the Bose-Hubbard model

Calculated value:

$$Z(q)=|raket{1}|b_q^\dagger|0
angle|^2$$

Expected result:

- High values in the Mott and superfluid phase
- Drop around the critical point

Parameters:

- *L* = 128
- *n<sub>max</sub>* = 3
- $n_{states} = 8$
- *nsweeps* = 2



Figure: Spectral weight of the bosonic excitations

- DMRG an effective method for treating low dimensional strongly correlated systems
- Bose Hubbard model a simple bosonic model, realized in experiments with ultracold atoms
- Applying DMRG to the system, we are able to see the superfluid-Mott insulator transition
- Improve the code to obtain better accuracy and resolution of the results

## Thank you for your attention